



Internet Society  
Chapters Advisory Council  
Topics brought before the BoT

BoT meeting, Panama City, Panama

July 1 2018

# Chapter AC 2018 Steering Committee



Carlos Vera (Ecuador Chapter), Ram Mohan (US – Philadelphia Chapter), Ines Hfaiedh (Tunisia Chapter), Satish Babu (India – Trivandrum Chapter), Nabil Bukhalid (Lebanon Chapter), Olivier Crépin-Leblond (UK England Chapter) elected to represent the regions and Richard Hill (Switzerland Chapter), Sivasubramanian Muthusamy (India Chennai Chapter), Jahangir Hussain (Bangladesh Dhaka Chapter) elected as non-geographical members.

Richard Hill (Chair) Carlos Vera, Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Sivasubramanian Muthusamy (Vice-Chairs) Satish Babu (Secretary)

## Issues resolved/closed/made dormant (1/3)



- Increasing Member Engagement: Closed with the recommendation that the regional members of the SC could participate in coordination activities/meetings at the regional level. Also, it was decided to revisit this item when metrics were available.
- WG on Making ISOC more bottom up. Recommendation from ChAC submitted to the Board. Board's response was received. Chapter AC will come back to the Board on this topic.
- TIPP, TPP, TISA, WTO e-commerce agenda: Made dormant in light of lack of access to negotiations. Concern remains that Internet governance issues are discussed in non-transparent, non-inclusive, non-multi-stakeholder forums

## Issues resolved/closed/made dormant (2/3)



- DDOS attacks, IoT, and Best Practices: Closed, with the recommendation to consider using the OTA framework for IoT, and also to consider this item under the IoT campaign item for 2018.
- Community Networks: Closed, to be revisited.
- Improvements to ChAC Processes: The Board recommended that ChAC improves its internal processes for better engagement on policy issues. ChAC SC wishes to have an audio/ face to face meeting with the Board
- Reform of ChAC: Proposal is being discussed presently # Overall ISOC accountability discussions will address this more thoroughly.
- Staff's request for 2 regional representatives + 2 SIG representatives for Large & Medium grant selection committee. Ongoing.

## Issues resolved/closed/made dormant (3/3)



- Chapter Tools Project:  
The earlier agenda was closed, then reopened on 14 May. Alexander Bloom made a presentation with details of the project and funds requirements. Some concerns were raised regarding continuing ongoing future payments and sustainability of the project. A new, more detailed proposal was submitted and sought support from Chapters, which it received. Staff needed time to work out funding.
- Creation of an ISOC Inreach/Outreach subgroup to work with ISOC Staff to update the ISOC Community through inreach/outreach initiatives. Currently ongoing.

# Important topics brought before the BoT anew / followed up (1/2):



- Ensuring interoperability of data protection mechanisms and adoption of best practices at the national level. Status: It is decided to reactivate the thread on the ChAC list and Chapters Delegate list, to suggest creating a subgroup to discuss the matter.
- Special Interest Groups (SIGs): Proposal to allow SIGs to participate in ChAC as observers was approved after a vote, and implemented.
- Harmonization of Data Protection Laws in different Geographies. postponed for discussions later.

# Important topics brought before the BoT anew / followed up (2/2):



- Propose steps to make Chapters better known nationally and more trusted as sources of policy advice
- Create database/repository of ISOC/chapter collaboration Staff updates required on what events / programs involved respective / global Chapters and what did not.
- Policy paper on cybercrime legislation - awaiting Board response
  - <https://isoc.box.com/s/44t9daw7g9e5wyod4r35chy9hbh93va3>

# On ISOC - ICANN interactions



There is a recent suggestion that ISOC could examine ways by which to work together with ICANN on matters of mutual concern. and define how the community will be involved.





# On Consensus

On the BoT's concern on difficulties in determining Chapter support for the ChAC SC proposals:

If a proposal is approved by the SC, it implies significant support from chapters. Most bottom-up organizations follow processes under which the absence of objections imply consent. The SC does not see any reason why the ChAC should operate differently. CHaC processes are in the best interests of Chapters and Members and is not unlike other ISOC staff and BoT processes. Any recommendation formally transmitted to the Board is for the BoT to be deemed to have the support and for the BoT consideration.

# On Internet Society Accountability



ChAC SC proposed to draft a new proposal to address “Internet Society Accountability”, by an exercise that expands the current discussion on Transparency. The suggestion is for an Accountability discussion that would examine the accountability of ISOC Chapter leaders, Chapter AC Steering Committee, Organizational members, Staff and the Board of Trustees

<https://app.box.com/notes/264585487792>